## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Мутта Мау	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C09-00459 <b>TW</b>
V. Washington Mutual Brokerage Holdings, Inc., et al.	STIPULATION AND [POOPERED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met an following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ring ADR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADE Early Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their needs	tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:  ✓ Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider)
Mediation at JAMS in San Jose, CA	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session be the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR)  other requested deadline Late  Dated: 6/8/10	ne deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)

PROPORTER ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

X Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

X other August 31, 2010

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 11, 2010

NITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE